Larimer County, Colorando SAMP LE BALLOT  Denocratic  Clork and Recorder  Clork for One)  Dan Sajeleza Denocratic  Republican  Treasurer  Clork for One)  State Board of Education Member - Congression District  Republican  Angella Schroeder  Denocratic  Clork for One)  Denocratic  Republican  Republican  State States Constant  Denocratic  Clork for One)  State States Constant  Denocratic  Clork for One)  States Treasurer  Clork for One)  Clork for One)  States States Constant  Denocratic  Clork for One)  States States Constant  Denocratic  Republican  States States Constant  Clork for One)  Clork for One)  States Representative - District 49  Clork for One)  States Representative - District 49  Clork for One)  States Representative - District 49  Clork for One)  States Representative - District 51  Clork for One)  Clork for One)  Clork for One)  States Representative - District 52	Official Ballot for General Election		State Offices		County Offices		
Brian Wastes Republican Clirk and Recorder Clirk and Recorder Clirk and Recorder Vote for One)  Afterney General (Vote for One)  Another Republican  Are glass and places and for one was all and for one was all and places and for one was all and for one one was all and for one was all and for one o	Larimer County, Colorado				Commissioner - District 1		
Dave Young   Democratic   Cliefs and Recorder   Constitution to Voters   Constitution to Vote			(Vote for One)		(Vote for One)		
Attorney General   Constitution	Angle Sugar		Brian Watson	Republican	John Kefalas	Democratic	
Attorney General   Constitution	Angela Myers		O Dave Young	Democratic	Sean M. Dougherty	Republican	
Your ballot may be continued on the back. To vote, fill in the oval completely. Please use black int.			Gerald F. Kilpatrick				
Das Sagienta   Democratic   Democratic   Democratic   Phil Weiser   Democratic   Democratic   Democratic   Phil Weiser   Democratic   Democratic   Democratic   Phil Weiser   Democratic   October 0 (Note for One)	Your hallot may be continued on	the hack	_	Outside	(Vote for One)		
Political Correct	-		(Vote for One)		Oan Sapienza	Democratic	
William F. Robinson III   Libertarian			Phil Weiser	Democratic	Angela Myers	Republican	
William F. Robinson III   Libertarian   Li	· Lu		George Brauchler	Republican			
ways shown below it may be difficult to determine your infant for a new ballot.  Incorrect  Johnny Barnett  Angelia Schroeder  Pederal Offices  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  State Separts  Republican  Republican  Republican  Republican  Republican  Republican  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  James A. Wilkerson IV  Republican  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  James A. Wilkerson IV  Republican  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  James A. Wilkerson IV  Republican  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 53  State	· Pro		William F. Robinson III	Libertarian	(Vote for One)		
Coroner   Coro	If you mark in any of the incorrect	t t			Irene Josey	Republican	
Incorrect	difficult to determine your intent. If you make a mistake please asl	k			Rick Bohn	Democratic	
Regent Offices  Regentative to the 116th United States Congress - District 2 (Vote for One)  Democratic			Johnny Barrett	Republican	Assessor		
Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)  Representative to the #16th United States Congress - District 2 (Vote for One)  Joe Neguse  Democratic  Republican  Peter Yu  Republican  Republican  Republican  Christopher E. Otwell  James K. Treibert  Libertarian  State Senator - District 15 (Vote for One)  State Senator - District 15 (Vote for One)  State Senator - District 15 (Vote for One)  Republican  Republican  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  Wilstein  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  State Republican  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  State Republican  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  State Senator - District 51 (Vote for One)  State Republican  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  James A. Wilkerson IV Republican  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 53 (Vot			Angelika Schroeder	Democratic	(Vote for One)		
Representative to the 116th United States Congress - District 2 (Vote for One)  Joe Neguse  Democratic  Peter Yu  Republican  Republican  Peter Yu  Republican  Republican  Republican  Republican  Democratic  Nick Thomas (Experimentation to first service For numer than 3 terms)  Nick Thomas (Speed Georation to first service For numer than 3 terms)  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  State Republican Democratic Democ			_	- At Large	Bob Overbeck	Democratic	
Congress - District 2 (Vote for One)			(Vote for One)		Alexis M. Smith	Republican	
Democratic   Christopher E. Obwell   Unity   Justin Smith   Republican	Congress - District 2	states	Lesley Smith	Democratic	Sheriff		
Christopher E. Obwell James K. Treibert Libertarian  Republican  Republican  Republican  Republican  Republican  Libertarian  Republican  State Senator - District 15  (Vote for One)  Coroner  (Vote for One)  Coroner  (Vote for One)  James A. Wilkerson IV Republican  James A. Wilkerson IV Republican  Democratic  Coror Duffy  Democratic  Native - District 51  (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 51  (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 52  (Vote for One)  State Republican  Democratic  Warker Stapieton / Lang Sias  Republican  Democratic  Warker Stapieton / Lang Sias  Republican  Democratic  Warker Stapieton / Lang Sias  Republican  Democratic  State Representative - District 52  (Vote for One)  State Republican  Democratic  State Representative - District 52  (Vote for One)  State Republican  Democratic  State Representative - District 52  (Vote for One)  State Republican  Democratic  Democratic  Person No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Lizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Lizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Lizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Lizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in o		Democratic	C Ken Montera	Republican	(Vote for One)		
Republican  Roger Barris  Libertarian  Nick Thomas (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms)  Write-in  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  Shall Judge John Daniel Dalley of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Cranston  Republican  Shall Judge Rebecca Cranston  Shall Judge Rebecca Cranston  No  Shall Judge Rebecca Cranston  Shall Judge Rebecca Cranston  No  Shall Judge Rebecca Cranston  Sha	0	Democratic	Christopher E. Otwell	Unity	Justin Smith	Republican	
State Senator - District 15 (Vote for One)	Peter Yu	Republican	James K. Treibert	Libertarian			
Roger Barris  Roger Barris  Libertarian  Republican  State Representative - District 49  (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 51  (Vote for One Pair)  State Representative - District 51  (Vote for One Pair)  State Representative - District 51  (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 52  (Vote for One)  Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Pavid J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?							
Nick Thomas (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms)  Write-In  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  James A. Wilkerson IV Republican  Perry L. Buck Republican  Conor Duffy Democratic  Cotorado Supreme Court Justice (Vote Yes or NO)  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 51 (Vote Yes or NO)  Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?  Yes No  Colorado Court of Appeals Judge (Vote Yes or NO)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	Roger Barris	Libertarian	(vote to one)		Chad R. Washburn	Republican	
Nick Thomas (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms)  Write-In  State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 49  Democratic  Colorado Supreme Court Justice (Vote YES or NO)  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One Pair)  James A. Wilkerson IV Republican  Democratic  Colorado Supreme Court Justice (Vote YES or NO)  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 53 (Vote for One)  State Representative - Distric			Rebecca Cranston	Democratic	Coroner		
State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)  Write-In  State Offices  Conor Duffy Democratic  Governor / Lieutenant Governor (Vote for One Pair)  Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Scott Helker / Michele Poague  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 53 (Vot			Rob Woodward	Republican	(Vote for One)		
State Offices	(Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms)		•		James A. Wilkerson IV	Republican	
Perry L. Buck   Democratic   Democratic   Otor Pair)   State Representative - District 51   (Vote for One Pair)   State Representative - District 51   (Vote for One Pair)   State Representative - District 51   Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?   Yes	0		(vote for One)		Judicial		
Governor / Lieutenant Governor (Vote for One Pair)  State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)  Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?  Yes No  Colorado Court of Appeals Judge (Vote YES or NO)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 53 (Vote for One)  Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	Write-In		Perry L. Buck	Republican			
(Vote for One Pair)  (Vote for One Pair)  (Vote for One)  (Vot	State Offices		Conor Duffy	Democratic	(Vote YES or NO)		
Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Unity Scott Heliker / Michele Poague Libertarian  Secretary of State (Vote for One)  Wayne Williams Jena Griswold Democratic  Wayne Williams American Constitution Blake Huber  Democratic  Joan Shaffer Democratic  Ves No  Colorado Court of Appeals Judge (Vote YES or NO)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  Person No  Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?						Supreme	
Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias Republican  Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Unity Scott Helker / Michele Poague Libertarian  Secretary of State (Vote for One)  Donna Walter Donna					Yes No		
Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Unity State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  Secretary of State (Vote for One)  Donna Walter Population  Wayne Williams Pepulation  Amanda Campbell American Constitution Blake Huber  Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Unity State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)  Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera	Democratic	Joan Shaffer	Democratic	Colorado Court of Appeals Judge		
Scott Helker / Michele Poague  Libertarian  Secretary of State (Vote for One)  Donna Walter  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias	Republican	Hugh McKean	Republican	(Vote YES or NO)		
Secretary of State (Vote for One)  Donna Walter  Democratic  Wayne Williams  Jena Griswold  American Constitution  Blake Huber  Donna Walter  Donna Walter  Donna Walter  Democratic  Yes  No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No  Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab	Unity	-		Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado C Appeals be retained in office?	Court of	
(Vote for One)    Donna Walter   Republican   Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?    Wayne Williams   Republican   Democratic   Yes   No	Scott Helker / Michele Poague	Libertarian	(vota lai cilo)				
Wayne Williams Republican Jena Griswold Democratic  State Representative - District 53 (Vote for One)  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes No  Shall Judge Democratic  Yes No  Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	-		Onna Walter	Republican	Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colora	ado Court of	
State Representative - District 53  Jena Griswold  Democratic  Amanda Campbell  American Constitution Blake Huber  Approval Voting  State Representative - District 53 (Vote for One)  State Representative - District 53 (Vote for One)  Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?  Yes  No Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?	Warma Williams	Darrich"	Joann Ginal	Democratic			
Amanda Campbell Constitution Blake Huber Approval Voting  American Constitution Approval Voting  Approval Voting  Yes No Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		-	-		Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado C	ourt of	
Constitution Blake Huber  Blake Huber  Democratic  Democratic  Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?			(vote for Offe)				
		Constitution	Jeni Arndt	Democratic	Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Co	ourt of	
l ( ) Yes ( ) No	Diane illuei	Approval Young			Yes No		

Judicial		State	State of Colorado		State of Colorado		
County Court Judge - Larimer		Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)		Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)			
Shall Judge Thomas L. Lynch of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?		Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the		SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED			
Shall Judge Peter E. Schoon Jr. of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?				EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?			
Yes	No	political pa profession	arty; prohibiting certain p nal lobbyists, federal cam	paign committee	Yes/For	No/Against	
Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.		employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?		Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)  SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$768,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$8,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING			
State of Colorado		Yes/For No/Against		JANUARY 1, 2019: REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATIONPROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING			
Amendment V (CONSTITUTION	IAL)	Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)					
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years?		Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?		BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TR. AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE TRANSPORTATION REVENUI FUND PRIORITY STATE TRAN MAINTENANCE AND CONSTI INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CA PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENU	OF ADDITIONAL E ANTICIPATION NOTES TO NSPORTATION RUCTION PROJECTS, APITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) IE RESULTING FROM THE		
Yes/For C	No/Against	0	Yes/For	No/Against	TAX RATE INCREASE AND PR OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION VOTER-APPROVED REVENU	INOTES ARE	
Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL)		Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)			NUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER		
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections?		ANNUALL	SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING		Yes/For	No/Against	
Yes/For	No/Against	TO PRES EDUCATI	TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE		Proposition 111 (STATU) Shall there be an amendment t		
Amendment X (CONSTITUTION		INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT		Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six			
Shall there be an amendment to the Co	lorado constitution			percent?			
concerning changing the industrial hem constitutional definition to a statutory de				Yes/For	No/Against		
Var/Ear	No/Against	RATE OF NONRES	7.2% TO 7.0% AND THI IDENTIAL ASSESSMEN	E CURRENT IT RATE OF 29% TO 24%;	Proposition 112 (STATU	•	
		REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC IEDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE		Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith,			
Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL)  Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?		COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL			changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?  Yes/For  No/Against		
		THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?  Yes/For  No/Against					
O Vesters	N-W	Amendi	ment 74 (CONSTITU	TIONAL)			
Yes/For No/Against		Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?					
		0	Yes/For	No/Against			
		Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)					
		Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?					
		0	Yes/For	No/Against			

## Larimer County

#### Ballot Issue 1A

SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED \$19,000,000 DOLLARS ANNUALLY (ESTIMATED FIRST FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE IN 2019), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, FOR A PERIOD OF 20 YEARS BY THE IMPOSITION OF A .25% (25 CENTS ON 100 DOLLARS) SALES AND USE TAX, WITH ALL REVENUE FROM SUCH TAX TO BE USED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESOLUTION NO. 07242018R013 FOR THE FOLLOWING MENTAL/BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CARE PURPOSES:

- PROVIDE PREVENTATIVE, EARLY IDENTIFICATION, INTERVENTION, SUPPORT, AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR YOUTH, ADULTS, FAMILIES, AND SENIOR CITIZENS, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF LARIMER COUNTY INCLUDING BERTHOUD, ESTES PARK, FORT COLLINS, JOHNSTOWN, LOVELAND, TIMNATH, WELLINGTON, WINDSOR AND RURAL COMMUNITIES OF LARIMER COUNTY, THROUGH IN-PERSON AND OTHER DELIVERY METHODS, WHICH MAY INCLUDE TELE-SERVICES, COMMUNITY BASED SERVICES AND OTHER SERVICE OPTIONS; AND
- ACQUIRE, CONSTRUCT, IMPROVE, MAINTAIN, LEASE, REMODEL, STAFF, EQUIP, AND OPERATE NEW AND/OR EXISTING MENTAL/BEHAVIORAL HEALTH FACILITIES;

AND SHALL THE COUNTY BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX WITHOUT LIMITATION BY ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND FURTHER PROVIDED THAT AN ANNUAL REPORT SHALL BE PUBLISHED AND PROVIDED TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ON THE DESIGNATION OR USE OF THE REVENUES FROM THE TAX INCREASE IN THE PRECEDING CALENDAR YEAR CONSISTENT WITH ITS APPROVED PURPOSES?

Yes/For



No/Against

## Town of Berthoud

#### Ballot Issue 3I

TOWN OF BERTHOUD ISSUE REGARDING AUTHORIZATION OF NEW 1% SALES TAX TO FINANCE RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS

SHALL THE TOWN OF BERTHOUD TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,400,000 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING PARKS AND RECREATION NEEDS WITHIN THE TOWN; SUCH TAX TO CONSIST OF A RATE INCREASE IN THE TOWN SALES AND USE TAX OF 1.0% (WHICH REPRESENTS A ONE CENT INCREASE ON EACH DOLLAR) COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2019; SHALL ALL REVENUES GENERATED FROM THE TAX, NET OF THE COSTS OF COLLECTION, BE DEPOSITED INTO A SEPARATE PARK AND RECREATION FUND AND RESTRICTED AS TO USE SOLELY FOR PARKS AND RECREATION PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- EXPANDING AND IMPROVING THE EXISTING TOWN TRAIL SYSTEM; RENOVATING OR REPLACING EXISTING AQUATIC FACILITIES; DEVELOPING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING A RECREATION CENTER AND RELATED FACILITIES; AND DEVELOPING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING.
- AND DEVELOPING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING ATHLETIC FIELDS;

AND, TO THE EXTENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE, FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING, ACQUIRING, EQUIPPING AND OPERATING PARK AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS; AND SHALL THE TAX REVENUES DEPOSITED IN THE TOWN PARK AND RECREATION FUND AND ALL EARNINGS THEREON (REGARDLESS OF AMOUNT) CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

Yes/For

No/Against

# Town of Berthoud

Ballot Issue 3J

TOWN OF BERTHOUD ISSUE REGARDING AUTHORIZATION FOR BOND ISSUANCE TO FINANCE RECREATION IMPROVEMENTS

SHALL THE TOWN OF BERTHOUD DEBT BE INCREASED \$30,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$59,000,000, SUCH DEBT TO CONSIST OF SALES AND USE TAX REVENUE BONDS TO BE PAYABLEFROM ALL OR A PORTION OF THE TOWN'S SALES AND USE TAX AND ISSUED SOLELY FOR PARKS AND RECREATION PURPOSES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

- EXPANDING AND IMPROVING THE EXISTING TOWN TRAIL SYSTEM; RENOVATING OR REPLACING EXISTING AQUATIC
- FACILITIES; DEVELOPING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING A RECREATION CENTER AND RELATED FACILITIES;
- DEVELOPING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING ATHLETIC FIELDS;

SUCH BONDS TO BE DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME, AND AT SUCH PRICES (AT.ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL THE EARNINGS ON THE INVESTMENT OF THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH BONDS (REGARDLESS OF AMOUNT) AND THE FUNDS USED FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE BONDS CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

Yes/For



No/Against

## Ballot Question 3K

Town of Berthoud Question Regarding Reallocation of Existing

Shall Town of Berthoud ordinances be amended without any increase in taxes to be assessed or tax revenues to be generated such that revenues from the tax increase approved on November 4, 1997, by the qualified electors of the Town of Berthoud may be utilized exclusively for uses presently allowed and for the construction, operation, and maintenance of capital improvements to parks, open spaces, recreation facilities, and trails?

Yes/For

No/Against

## Ballot Question 31

Town of Berthoud Question Regarding Case Annexation

Shall the Town of Berthoud be authorized to annex parcel of property consisting of approximately 6.259 acres, more particularly described as:

Case Annexation: That portion of the Northeast Quarter of Section 4, Township 4 North, Range 69 West of the 6th Principal Meridian, County of Larimer, State of Colorado, more particularly described as

Parcel 2A, Amended Duffy Exemption, recorded at Reception No. 97052403, Larimer County Clerk and Recorder, more particularly described as follows:

Considering the North line of the Northeast corner of Section 4 as bearing South 89° 59' 58" West and with all bearings contained herein relative thereto:

contained herein relative thereto:

COMMENCING at the North Quarter corner of Section 4; thence South 00° 13' 44" West, 50.15 feet to a point on the North line of Heron Lakes Lake Club Annexation To The Town of Berthoud; thence along the West and South lines of Heron Lakes Lake Club Annexation to The Town of Berthoud; thence along the West and South lines of Heron Lakes Lake Club Annexation the following 2 courses and distances: South 00° 25' 45" West, 1337.29 feet; thence, North 77° 18' 05" East, 447.46 feet to the Southwest corner of Parcel 2A, Amended Duffy Exemption, said point being the POINT OF BEGINNING; thence along said Parcel 2A the following 10 courses and distances: North 07° 03' 49" West, 398.85 feet; thence, North 04° 08' 54" West, 128.40 feet; thence, North 79° 55' 50" East, 337.02 feet; thence, South 28° 00' 27" East, 280.84 feet; thence, South 41° 52' 38" East, 104.83 feet; thence, South 41° 52' 38" East, 104.83 feet; thence, South 47° 26' 05" West, 419.26 feet; thence, South 54° 47' 05" West, 51.59 feet; thence South 65° 29' 05" West, 71.05 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing 272,633 square feet or 8.259 acres more or less.

Provided that the board of trustees, after considering the annexation application and holding a public hearing, as required by law, determines that such annexation is in the bes interests of the town?

Yes/For

No/Against

## Thompson R2-J School District

#### Ballot Issue 5A

SHALL THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J'S TAXES BE INCREASED \$13.8 MILLION ANNUALLY BY A LEVY OF UP TO 7.8 MILLS IN THE 2018-2019 BUDGET YEAR AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNT IS RAISED BY A LEVY OF 7.8 MILLS IN EACH BUDGET YEAR THEREAFTER BY THE COLLECTION OF PROPERTY TAXES FOR THE FOLLOWING EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES, TO BE MONITORED BY A CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE:

- IAINTAINING CURRENT CLASS SIZES AND UPIL-TEACHER RATIOS;
- ESTABLISHING THE DISTRICT AS A COMPETITIVE EMPLOYER BY INCREASING COMPENSATION TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN HIGH QUALITY EMPLOYEES;
- UPDATING TEXTBOOKS, CURRICULUM, MATERIALS, TECHNOLOGY RESOURCES AND INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS; AND
- INCREASING AND UPGRADING STUDENT SECURITY IN SCHOOL BUILDINGS, INCLUDING EXPANDING THE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER PROGRAM:

WITH A PORTION OF SUCH TAXES TO BE DISTRIBUTED TO THE DISTRICT'S CHARTER SCHOOLS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STATELAW; AND WITH SUCH TAXES TO BE IN EXCESS OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES THAT WOULD BE PROVIDED BY THE GENERAL FUND MILL LEVY PERMITTED UNDER STATELAW WITHOUT SUCH INCREASE, BUT IN NO EVENT SHALL SUCH TAX INCREASE BE GREATER THAN THE AMOUNT PERMITTED UNDER SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., OR ANY SUCCESSOR STATUTE; AND WITH THE DISTRICT TO BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES, TOGETHER WITH REVENUES FROM SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE THERETO, AND THE EARNINGS ON SUCH TAXES AND REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW? WITH A PORTION OF SUCH TAXES TO BE DISTRIBUTED

Yes/For

No/Against

# Ballot Issue 5B

SHALL THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J'S DEBT BE INCREASED \$149.0 MILLION WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF \$285.4 MILLION OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY, AND SHALL THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J'S TAXES BE INCREASED \$15.9 MILLION ANNUALLY OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT AS MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THE PAYMENT OF SUCH DEBT, FOR THE FOLLOWING DISTRICT-WIDE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PURPOSES, TO BE MONITORED BY A CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE:

- UPGRADING SCHOOL BUILDING SAFETY AN SECURITY SYSTEMS AND UPGRADING FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS TO CURRENT CODE;
- EXTENDING THE USEFUL LIFE OF AGING SCHOOL BUILDINGS BY REPLACING, REPAIRING, AND UPGRADING HEATING, VENTILATION AND COOLING SYSTEMS AND MECHANICAL CONTROLS, PLUMBING, ROOFS, WINDOWS AND DOORS, MAKING BUILDING EXTERIOR IMPROVEMENTS, REMOVING OR ABATING ASBESTOS AND UPDATING OBSOLETE TECHNOLOGY IN SCHOOLS;
- CONSTRUCTING, FURNISHING AND EQUIPPING A NEW K-8 SCHOOL, AND ADDITIONS TO BERTHOUD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND IVY STOCKWELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL; AND
- IMPROVING, CONSTRUCTING, EXPANDING, REMODELING, EQUIPPING AND FURNISHING SCHOOLS AND DISTRICT BUILDINGS, ADDITIONS, FACILITIES AND GROUNDS;

FACILITIES AND GROUNDS;

AND WITH SUCH DEBT TO MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM, AND BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR, AND AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY DETERMINE; AND IN CONNECTION THEREWITH (I) TO INCREASE THE DISTRICT'S PROPERTY TAXES WHEN REQUIRED AS STATED ABOVE IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAYTHE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT WHEN DUE AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF, AND (II) TO COLLECT AND SPEND THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH DEBT AND THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE THERETO AND ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH PROCEEDS AND REVENUES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGE WITHOUT LIMITATION BY THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF, AND WITHOUT AFFECTING THE DISTRICT'S ABILITY TO COLLECT AND SPEND ANY OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?



Yes/For



No/Against

# Estes Valley Fire Protection District

#### Rallot Issue 6A

A MEASURE TO AUTHORIZE MILL LEVY RATE

SHALL ESTES VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO OFFSET REVENUE LOSSES FROM REFUNDS, ABATEMENTS AND CHANGES TO THE PERCENTAGE OF ACTUAL VALUATION USED TO DETERMINE ASSESSED VALUATION (IN PARTICULAR TO OFFSET REVENUES THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE LOST DUE TO THE "GALLAGHER AMENDMENT" TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION) BY INCREASING ITS OPERATING MILL LEVY BEGINNING IN COLLECTION YEAR 2020 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, SO THAT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE THE ACTUAL TAX REVENUE COLLECTED IS THE SAME AS IF SUCH CHANGES HAD NOT OCCURRED?

Yes/For

No/Against

## Glacier View Fire Protection District

#### Ballot Issue 6B

MILL LEVY INCREASE

SHALL GLACIER VIEW FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$93,843 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY, BEGINNING IN LEVY YEAR 2018 (FOR COLLECTION IN CALENDAR YEAR 2019) BY INCREASING THE DISTRICT'S EXISTING PROPERTY TAX BY 4.0 MILLS, TO ENABLE THE DISTRICT TO CONTINUE PROVIDING CRITICAL FIRE, AMBULANCE, RESCUE AND OTHER EMERGENCY AND NON-EMERGENCY SUPPORT SERVICES TO ITS FAST-GROWING COMMUNITIES, AND SHALL ALL REVENUE AND ANY EARNINGS ON THIS TAX CONSTITUTE A PERMANENT VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE WITHIN THE MEANING OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 29-1-301 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, AND ANY OTHER LAW? SHALL GLACIER VIEW FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

Yes/For



No/Against

# Wellington Fire Protection District

#### Rallot Issue 60

IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN ADEQUATE FIRE, RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, AND ONLY IF THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE IS REDUCED BELOW THE CURRENT RATE ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 3 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SHALL THE WELLINGTON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (DISTRICT) BE PERMITTED TO ADJUST ITS PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY TO OFFSET ANY RESULTING DECREASE IN REVENUE; AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATIONS INCLUDING THOSE CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

Yes/For



No/Against

# Larimer County Public Improvement District

## Autumn Creek PID #63 Ballot Issue 6D

SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$13,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED AUTUMN CREEK PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 63 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 25.210 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL AUTUMN CREEK PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 63 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 55% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2018 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER? SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$13,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE

Yes/For

No/Against

# Larimer County Public Improvement District

### Soaring Peaks Ranches PID #64 Ballot Issue 6E

SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$25,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED SOARING PEAKS RANCHES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 64 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 25.630 MILS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL SOARING PEAKS RANCHES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 64 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVESED STATUTES IN 2018 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

Yes/For



No/Against

### Riviera Estates PID #65 Ballot Issue 6F

SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$20,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED RIVIERA ESTATES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 65 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 27.290 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL RIVIERA ESTATES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 65 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 55% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2018 AND FACH YEAR THEREATTER? SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2018 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

Yes/For

No/Against

## Carter Lake Heights PID #66 Ballot Issue 6G

SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$19,000 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED CARTER LAKE HEIGHTS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 66 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 18.213 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL CARTER LAKE HEIGHTS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 66 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5½% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2018 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

Yes/For

## **Berthoud Fire Protection District**

### Ballot Issue 7B

IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN ADEQUATE FIRE, RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, AND ONLY IF THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE IS REDUCED BELOW THE CURRENT RATE ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 3 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SHALL THE BERTHOUD FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (DISTRICT) BE PERMITTED TO ADJUST ITS PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY TO OFFSET ANY DECREASE IN REVENUE CAUSED BY THE REDUCTION OF THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE; AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 29-1-301 OF THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

Yes/For

No/Against

# Front Range Fire Rescue Fire Protection District

#### Ballot Issue 7C

IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN ADEQUATE FIRE, RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, AND ONLY IF THE RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE IS REDUCED BELOW THE CURRENT RATE ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 3 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, SHALL THE FRONT RANGE FIRE RESCUE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT (DISTRICT) BE PERMITTED TO ADJUST ITS PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY TO OFFSET ANY RESULTING DECREASE IN REVENUE; AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES? IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN ADEQUATE FIRE, RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES. AND ONLY IF THE

Yes/For

No/Against

# Poudre Valley Fire Protection District

## Ballot Issue 7D

SHALL POUDRE VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$0.00 (ZERO DOLLARS) IN COLLECTION YEAR 2019; AND IN ORDER TO SUSTAIN FIRE, RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES IN THE EVENT THAT THE COLORADO RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE IS REDUCED BELOW THE CURRENT RATE ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 3 OF ARTICLE X OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION (THE GALLAGHER AMENDMENT), SHALL THE DISTRICT'S TOTAL OPERATING MILL LEVY RATE OF 10.595 MILLS BE ADJUSTED ANNUALLY TO OFFSET NET TAX REVENUE LOSSES RESULTING FROM CHANGES IN THE RATIO OF VALUATION FOR ASSESSMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY AND FROM REFUNDS AND ABATEMENTS; AND SHALL ALL DISTRICT REVENUES BE COLLECTED, RETAINED AND SPENT NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REVENUE LIMITS PROVIDED BY LAW; FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROVIDING FIRE PROTECTION, RESCUE, AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, INCLUDING:

- MAINTAINING EMERGENCY RESPONSE SERVICES THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT;
  MEETING INCREASED DEMAND FOR EMERGENCY SERVICES;
  PROVIDING SAFETY GEAR AND FIRE ENGINES FOR FIREFIGHTER-EMTS;
  PROVIDING COMMUNITY RISK REDUCTION SERVICES INCLUDING SAFETY EDUCATION, INSPECTIONS, AND FIRE CODE ENFORCEMENT;
  AND
- INSPECTIONS, AND TIME GOLD IN AND PROVIDING TRAINING AND EQUIPMENT FOR LIFE-SAVING MEDICAL RESPONSE, RESCUE SERVICES, WATER RESCUE, WILDFIRE RESPONSE, AND OTHER EMERGENCY RESPONSE SERVICES?

Yes/For

No/Against